## IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND POR BACCRYT COUNTY STATE OF STAN

IN THE NATION OF THE GENERAL BETTERMINATION OF ALL THE RIGHTS:
TO THE USE OF NATHE, BOTH
SURFACE AND UNDERGROUND, WITHIN:
THE DRAINAGE AREA OF THE GREEN
RIVER ABOVE THE CONFLUENCE OF, :
BUT INCLUDING POT CREEK, IN
DAGGETT, SURMIT AND UINTAH :
COUNTIES, UTAH.

INTERLOCUTORY

JUDGMENT AND DECREE

Be it remembered that this matter same on regularly for hearing on the 31st day of October, 1956, on the objections and protest filed by Zelph S. Calder to the Proposed Determination of Water Rights submitted by the Utah State Engineer as to Pet Creek, & water source within the above adjudication, and upon the answers to said protest filed by said State Engineer, by William Allen as the successor in interest to Lee C. and Eve Deen Watson, and by M. Clark Peltch, individually and as executor of the Matete of Jeel Evans, deceased; and the Court, having heard the evidence effered by the respective parties and being fully satisfied in the premises, finds that the judgment and decree entered by the United States District Court for the District of Utah on June 21, 1944, in the case of Calder v. Crouse, Civil No. 356, is binding upon the parties herein named, and that by said decree Crouse, and his successors in interest were given the right to the use of 5 second feet of the natural flow of Pot Creek, a tributary of the Green River, to be diverted at any time a natural flow of water is available in Pot Greek at the Matt Warner Dam from January let to December 31st inclusive of each year; and the Court further finds and concludes that all necessary parties are before it and that the subject matter of this cause is proper for consideration by this Court and that the State Engineer, both by statute and the prior order of this Court, had proper authorization to proceed with a general adjudication of the waters of Pot Crock;

now, therefore, by virtue of the law and the findings aferceaid, the Court orders that judgment be entered as follows:

- 1. That this Court has full jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters connected with this adjudication and general determination proceeding.
- 2. That the Proposed Determination of Water Rights for Pot Creek, heretofore submitted by the Utah State Engineer, shall be medified and amended in the following respects:
- (a) That Claims Nos. 1082, 1032, 1637, 1226 and 1827
  shall-be explorated and, as far as the protestant Zelph S. Galder
  is concerned, shall be satisfied by and shall be entitled to use the
  first 5.0 second feet of the natural flow of Pot Greek, measured at
  the Matt Vermer Dam, during the irrigation season from May 1 to October
  31 of each year; provided, however, that in the distribution of unter
  under this order, the State Engineer may in his discretion retain the
  said first 5.0 second feet in the Matt Warner Reservoir during any
  period when release therefrom would be impossible or inconvenient and
  may thereafter release the same to satisfy the above numbered rights
  and claims as seen as it is possible and convenient.
- (b) That Claim M. 1019 shall be entitled, as far as the protestant Zelph S. Galder is conserved, to storage only during the period from October 31 of one year to May 1 of the year following and during said period is entitled to the first 5.0 second feet of the natural flow of Pot Greek measured at the Matt Warner Dam; provided, however, that, in the distribution of water under this order, the State Engineer may order the installation of such measuring devices as may be measurery and may in his discretion retain the said 5.0 second feet in the Matt Warner Reservoir during any part of the period from October 1 of one year to May 1 of the year following and may release the same as seen thereafter as is possible and convenient.
- (\*) That if the said Matt Warner Reservoir fills and at satisfying the spills, all unter spilled shall be first charged with satisfying the rights hereisabeve mentioned in this paragraph two during their respective period of use.

April Nov 9

- (4) That as to Claims No. 1622, 1032, 1037, 1226 and 1227, the Court reserves jurisdiction to determine the amounts and flows of water to be awarded the respective claimants after issues have been raised by responsive pleadings. Now dearth
- (a) That in all other respects not herein otherwise expressly stated the said Proposed Determination of Water Rights is upheld and affirmed.
- 3. That as to the rights noted in said Proposed Determination as Coloredo rights this Court disclaims any jurisdiction and the said protest is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction as it pertains to said rights. You distribute

fated this \_20 day of \_much , 1950.

DISTRICT JUDGE